

# Some relationships between the geometry of the tangent bundle and the geometry of the Riemannian base manifold.

Guillermo Henry and Guillermo Keilhauer  
Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN,  
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

**Abstract.** We compute the curvature tensor of the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a natural metric and we get some relationships between the geometry of the base manifold and the geometry of the tangent bundle.

**Keywords:** Natural tensor fields · Tangent bundle · Riemannian manifolds

**Mathematics Subject Clasification (2000):** 53C20 · 53B21 · 53A55

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\pi : TM \longrightarrow M$  and  $P : O(M) \longrightarrow M$  be the tangent and the orthonormal bundle over  $M$  respectively. In this paper we deal with a class of Riemannian metrics  $G$  on  $TM$ . These metrics makes  $\pi : (TM, G) \longrightarrow (M, g)$  a Riemannian submersion, the horizontal distribution induced by the Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$  orthogonal to the vertical distribution and  $G$  is the image by a natural operator of order two of the metric  $g$ . The Sasaki metric and the Cheeger-Gromoll metric are well known examples of these class of metrics, and there were extensively studied by Kowalski [6], Aso [1], Sekizawa [10], Musso and Tricerri [8], Gudmundsson and Kappos [3] among others. The notion of *natural tensor* on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold as a tensor that is the image by a natural operator of order two of the base manifold metric, was introduced and characterized by Kowalski and Sekizawa in [7]. In [2], Calvo and Keilhauer showed that for a given Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , any  $(0, 2)$  tensor field on  $TM$  admits a global matrix representation. Using this one to one relationship, they defined and characterized, without making use of the theory of differential invariants, what they also called *natural tensor*. In the symmetric case this concept coincide with the one of Kowalski and Sekizawa. In [4], the first author gives a new approach of the concept of naturality, introducing the notion of *s-space* and  *$\lambda$ -naturality*. This approach avoids jets and natural operators theory and generalized the one given in [2] and [7].

In section 2, we introduce natural metrics on  $TM$  by means of [2]. For any  $q \in M$ , let

$M_q$  be the tangent space of  $M$  at  $q$ . Let  $\psi : N : O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow TM$  be the projection defined by

$$\psi(q, u, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i u_i \quad (1)$$

where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $M_q$  and  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well known (see [8]), that for a fixed Riemannian metric on  $TM$  a suitable Riemannian metric  $G^*$  on  $N$  can be defined such that  $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$  is a Riemannian submersion. Based on this fact and the O'Neill formula, in Section 3, we compute the curvature tensor of  $(TM, G)$ , when  $G$  is a natural metric. As an application, we get in Section 4 some relationships between the geometry of  $TM$  and the geometry of  $M$ .

Throughout, all geometric objects are assumed to be differentiable, i.e.  $C^\infty$ .

## 2 Preliminaries.

Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and  $K : TTM \longrightarrow TM$  the connection map induced by  $\nabla$ . For any  $q \in M$  and  $v \in M_q$ , let  $\pi_{*v} : (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q$  be the differential map of  $\pi$  at  $v$ , and  $K_v : (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q$  the restriction of  $K$  to  $(TM)_v$ .

Since the linear map  $\pi_{*v} \times K_v : (TM)_v \longrightarrow M_q \times M_q$  defined by  $(\pi_{*v} \times K_v)(b) = (\pi_{*v}(b), K_v(b))$  is an isomorphism that maps the horizontal subspace  $(TM)_v^h = \ker K_v$  onto  $M_q \times \{0_q\}$  and the vertical subspace  $(TM)_v^v = \ker \pi_{*v}$  onto  $\{0_q\} \times M_q$ , where  $0_q$  denotes the zero vector, we define differentiable mappings  $e_i, e_{n+i} : N = O(M) \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow TTM$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$  by

$$e_i(q, u, \xi) = (\pi_{*v} \times K_v)^{-1}(u_i, 0_q) \quad (2)$$

$$e_{n+i}(q, u, \xi) = (\pi_{*v} \times K_v)^{-1}(0_q, u_i)$$

The action of the orthonormal group  $O(n)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  on  $N$  is given by the family of maps  $R_a : N \longrightarrow N$ ,  $a \in O(n)$ ,  $R_a(q, u, \xi) = (q, u.a, \xi.a)$  where  $u.a = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_1^i u_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^i u_i)$  and  $\xi.a = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_1^i \xi^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_n^i \xi^i)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\{e_i(R_a(p, u, \xi))\} = \{e_i(p, u, \xi)\}.L(a)$$

where  $L : O(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  is the map defined by

$$L(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

For any  $(0, 2)$  tensor field  $T$  on  $TM$  we define the differentiable function  ${}^gT : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  as follows: If  $(q, u, \xi) \in N$  and  $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$ , let  ${}^gT(q, u, \xi)$  be the matrix of the bilinear form  $T_v : (TM)_v \times (TM)_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  induced by  $T$  on  $(TM)_v$  with respect to the

basis  $\{e_1(q, u, \xi), \dots, e_{2n}(q, u, \xi)\}$ . One sees easily that  ${}^gT$  satisfies the following invariance property:

$${}^gT \circ R_a = (L(a))^t \cdot {}^gT \cdot L(a) \quad (4)$$

Moreover, there is a one to one correspondence between the  $(0, 2)$  tensor fields on  $TM$  and differentiable maps  ${}^gT$  satisfying (4).

A tensor field  $T$  on  $TM$  will be call natural with respect to  $g$  if  ${}^gT$  depends only of the parameter  $\xi$ , (see [2]). In the sense of [4], the collection  $\lambda = (N, \psi, O(n), \tilde{R}, \{e_i\})$  is a  $s$ -space over  $TM$ , with base change morphism  $L$ ; and the natural tensors with respect to  $g$  are the  $\lambda$  – *natural* tensors with respect to  $TM$ .

In this paper we will call  $G$  a natural metric on  $TM$  if:

1.  $G$  is a Riemannian metric such that  $\pi : (TM, G) \longrightarrow (M, g)$  is a Riemannian submersion.
2. For  $v \in TM$ , the subspaces  $(TM)_v^v$  and  $(TM)_v^h$  are orthogonals.
3.  $G$  is natural with respect to  $g$ .

From Lemma 3.1 of [2], it follows that  $G$  is a natural metric on  $TM$  if

$${}^gG(p, u, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n \times n} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(\|\xi\|^2) \cdot Id_{n \times n} + \beta(\|\xi\|^2)(\xi)^t \cdot \xi \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are differentiable functions satisfying  $\alpha(t) > 0$ , and  $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Remark 2.1** *The Sasaki metric  $G_s$  corresponds to the case  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ; and the Cheeger-Gromoll metric  $G_{ch}$  to the case  $\alpha = \beta$ , and  $\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$ .*

### 3 Curvature equations.

In this section we compute the curvature tensor of  $TM$  endowed with a natural metric. Since this computation involves well known objects defined on  $N$ , we shall begin to describe them briefly using the connection map.

#### 3.1 Canonical constructions on $N$ .

Let  $\theta^i, \omega_j^i$  be the canonical 1-forms on  $O(M)$ , which in terms of the connection map are defined as follows:

$$\theta^i(q, u)(b) = g_q(P_{*(q, u)}(b), u_i) \quad (6)$$

$$\omega_j^i(q, u)(b) = g_q(K((\pi_j)_{*(q, u)}(b)), u_i) \quad (7)$$

where  $\pi_j : O(M) \longrightarrow TM$  is the  $j^{th}$  projection, i.e.  $\pi_j(q, u) = u_j$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

From now on, let  $\theta^i, \omega_j^i, d\xi^i$  be the pull backs of the canonical 1-forms and the usual 1-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $P_1 : N \longrightarrow O(M)$  and  $P_2 : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For any  $z \in N$  let us denote by  $V_z = \ker \psi_{*z}$  and  $H_z = \{b \in N_z : \omega_j^i(z)(b) = 0, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  the vertical and the horizontal subspace of  $N_z$  respectively. By letting [8]

$$\theta^{n+i} = d\xi^i + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi^j \cdot \omega_j^i \quad (8)$$

we get that for any  $z \in N$ ,  $\{\theta^1(z), \dots, \theta^{2n}(z), \{\omega_j^i(z)\}\}$  is a basis for  $N_z^*$  and  $V_z = \{b \in N_z : \theta^l(z)(b) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq 2n\}$ .

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_{2n}, \{V_m^l\}_{1 \leq l < m \leq n}$  be the dual frame of  $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \{\omega_j^i\}\}$ . The vector fields were constructed as follows: If  $z = (q, u, \xi)$ , let  $c_i$  be the geodesic that satisfies  $c_i(0) = q$  and  $\dot{c}_i(0) = u_i$ . Let  $E_1^i, \dots, E_n^i$  be the parallel vector fields along  $c_i$  such that  $E_l^i(0) = u_l$ . If we define  $\gamma_i(t) = (c_i(t), E_1^i(t), \dots, E_n^i(t), \xi)$ , then

$$H_i(z) = \dot{\gamma}_i(z) \quad (9)$$

$$H_{n+i}(z) = (i_{(q,u)})_{*\xi} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} |_{\xi} \right) \quad (10)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $i_{(q,u)} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow N$  is the inclusion map given by  $i_{(q,u)}(\xi) = (q, u, \xi)$ .

Let  $\sigma_z : O(n) \longrightarrow N$  be the map defined by  $\sigma_z(a) = R_a(z) = z.a$ . Since  $V_z = \ker(\psi_{*z}) = (\sigma_z)_{*Id}(\mathfrak{o}(n))$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the space of skew symmetric matrices of  $R^{n \times n}$ , let

$$V_m^l(z) = (\sigma_z)_{*id}(A_m^l) \quad (11)$$

where  $[A_m^l]_m^l = 1$ ,  $[A_m^l]_l^m = -1$  and  $[A_m^l]_j^i = 0$  otherwise. Hence,

$$\psi_{*z}(V_m^l(z)) = 0 \quad (12)$$

An easy check shows that

$$\psi_{*z}(H_i(z)) = e_i(z) \quad (13)$$

and

$$\psi_{*z}(H_{n+i}(z)) = e_{n+i}(z) \quad (14)$$

Let  $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega_j^i \otimes \omega_j^i$ , if  $G$  is a Riemannian metric on  $TM$  then

$$G^* = \psi^*(G) + \omega \quad (15)$$

is also a Riemannian metric on  $N$ . It follows easily that  $(V_z) \perp_{G^*} H_z$  and  $\psi_{*z} : H_z \longrightarrow (TM)_{\psi(z)}$  is an isometry, therefore  $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$  is a Riemannian submersion. We shall use this fact to compute the curvature tensor of  $(TM, G)$  when  $G$  is a natural metric.

**Remark 3.1** Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $TM$ , the horizontal lift of  $X$  is a vector field  $X^h$  on  $N$  such that  $X^h(z) \in H_z$  and  $\psi_{*z}(X^h(z)) = X(\psi(z))$ . If  $X(\psi(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x^i(z)e_i(z)$ , from (12), (13) and (14) it follows that  $X^h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x^i(z)H_i(z)$ .

**Proposition 3.2** For  $1 \leq i, j, l, m \leq n$  let  $R_{ijlm} : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the maps defined by  $R_{ijlm}(q, u, \xi) = g(R(u_i, u_j)u_l, u_m)$ , where  $R$  is the curvature tensor of  $(M, g)$ . The Lie bracket on vertical and horizontal vector field on  $N$  satisfies:

- a)  $[H_i, H_j] = \sum_{l,m=1}^n R_{ijlm}\xi^m H_{n+l} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m=1}^n R_{ijlm}V_m^l$ .
- b)  $[H_i, H_{n+j}] = 0$ .
- c)  $[H_i, V_m^l] = \delta_{il}H_m - \delta_{im}H_l$ .
- d)  $[H_{n+i}, H_{n+j}] = 0$ .
- e)  $[H_{n+i}, V_m^l] = \delta_{il}H_{n+m} - \delta_{im}H_{n+l}$ .
- f)  $[V_j^i, V_m^l] = \delta_{il}V_{mj} + \delta_{jl}V_{im} + \delta_{im}V_{jl} + \delta_{jm}V_{li}$ .
- g) If  $f : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function that depends only on the parameter  $\xi$ , then  $H_i(f) = 0$  and  $V_j^i(f) = \xi^i H_{n+j}(f) - \xi^j H_{n+i}(f)$ .
- h) If  $X, Y \in \chi(TM)$  and  $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$  then  $[X^h, Y^h]^v|_{(q,u,\xi)} = \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq n} g_q(R(\pi_*(X(v)), \pi_*(Y(v)))u_l, u_m)V_m^l(q, u, \xi)$ .

The proof is straightforward and follows by taking local coordinates in  $M$  and the induced one in  $TM$  and evaluating the forms  $\theta^i, \theta^{n+i}, \omega_j^i$  on the fields  $[H_r, H_s], [H_r, V_m^l]$  and  $[V_m^l, V_{m'}^{l'}]$  for  $1 \leq r, s \leq 2n, 1 \leq l < m \leq n$  and  $1 \leq l' < m' \leq n$ .

### 3.2 The main result.

From now on, let  $\bar{R}$  and  $R^*$  be the curvature tensors of  $(TM, G)$  and  $(N, G^*)$ . For simplicity we denote by  $<, >$  the metrics  $G$  and  $G^*$ . Since  $\psi : (N, G^*) \longrightarrow (TM, G)$  is a Riemannian submersion, by the O'Neill formula (see [9]) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} < \bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W > \circ \psi = < R^*(X^h, Y^h)Z^h, W^h > + \frac{1}{4} < [Y^h, Z^h]^v, [X^h, W^h]^v > \\ & - \frac{1}{4} < [X^h, Z^h]^v, [Y^h, W^h]^v > - \frac{1}{2} < [Z^h, W^h]^v, [X^h, Y^h]^v > \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

If  $Y^h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y^i(z)H_i(z)$ ,  $Z^h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} z^i(z)H_i(z)$  and  $W^h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} w^i(z)H_i(z)$ , then the first term of the right side of equality (16) is

$$< R^*(X^h, Y^h)Z^h, W^h > = \sum_{ijkl=1}^{2n} x^i y^j z^k w^l < R^*(H_i, H_j)H_k, H_l >$$

On the other hand, if  $v = \psi(q, u, \xi)$ , it follows from Proposition 3.2 (part h) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [X^h, Y^h]^v, [Z^h, W^h]^v \rangle_{|(q, u, \xi)} = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r, s=1}^n \langle R(\pi_*(X(v)), \pi_*(Y(v)))u_r, u_s \rangle \cdot \langle R(\pi_*(Z(v)), \pi_*(W(v)))u_r, u_s \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

**Remark 3.3** *In order to compute  $\langle \bar{R}(X(v), Y(v))Z(v), W(v) \rangle$  it is sufficient to evaluate the right side of (16) on points of  $N$  of the form  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that  $v = \psi(z) = t.v$  and  $t = \|v\|$ .*

Let  $f : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable map, from now on, let us denote by  $\dot{f}(t)$  the derivate of  $f$  at  $t$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a natural metric on  $TM$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  be the functions that characterizes  $G$ . If  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$  and  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$  we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad & \langle R^*(H_i(z), H_j(z))H_k(z), H_l(z) \rangle = \\ & t^2 \alpha(t^2) \cdot \sum_{r=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R_{ijr1}(z) R_{klr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{ilr1}(z) R_{kjr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{jlr1}(z) R_{ikr1}(z) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R_{ijr1}(z) R_{klrs}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{ilr1}(z) R_{kjrs}(z) + \frac{1}{4} R_{jlr1}(z) R_{ikrs}(z) \right\} + R_{ijkl}(z). \end{aligned}$$

b) *Let  $\epsilon_{ijkl} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}$ , then*

b.1) *If no index is equal to one, then*

$$\langle R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+l}(z) \rangle = \epsilon_{ijkl} F(t^2)$$

where  $F : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$F(t) = \frac{\alpha(t)\beta(t) - t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 - 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)} \quad (18)$$

b.2) *If some index equals one, for example  $l = 1$ , then*

$$\langle R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+1}(z) \rangle = \epsilon_{ijk1} H(t^2)$$

where  $H : [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$H(t) = \phi(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln(\alpha \Delta)|_t - 2\dot{\phi}(t) \quad (19)$$

and  $\phi(t) = \alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t)$ ,  $\Delta(t) = \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)$ .

$$c) < R^*(H_i(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_{n+l}(z) > = 0.$$

$$d) < R^*(H_{n+i}(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_k(z), H_l(z) > =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha(t^2) + (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})\beta(t^2)t^2)R_{ijkl}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i1}(\beta(t^2) - 2\dot{\alpha}(t^2))t^2R_{klj1}(z) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j1}(2\dot{\alpha}(t^2) - \beta(t^2))t^2R_{kli1}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n \{R_{krj1}(z)R_{rli1}(z) - R_{kri1}(z)R_{rlj1}(z)\}.$$

$$e) < R^*(H_i(z), H_{n+j}(z))H_k(z), H_{n+l}(z) > =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t^2)R_{kilj}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n R_{krj1}(z)R_{ril1}(z) + \frac{t^2}{2}(\delta_{j1} + \delta_{l1})\dot{\alpha}(t^2)(R_{kil1}(z) - R_{kij1}(z)).$$

$$f) < R^*(H_i(z), H_j(z))H_{n+k}(z), H_l(z) > =$$

$$\frac{\alpha(t^2)t}{2} \{ < \nabla_D R(E_j^i(s), E_j^l(s))E_j^k(s) |_{s=0}, u_1 > - < \nabla_D R(E_i^j(s), E_i^l(s))E_i^k(s) |_{s=0}, u_1 > \}.$$

The proof follows from the Koszul formula and Proposition 3.2 and it involves a lot of calculation. For more details we refer the reader to [5] pages 132-151.

**Theorem 3.5** *The curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  evaluated on  $e_i(z)$ ,  $e_{n+i}(z)$  satisfies:*

$$a) < \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_k(z), e_l(z) > =$$

$$t^2\alpha(t^2) \sum_{r=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2}R_{ijr1}(z)R_{klr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4}R_{ilr1}(z)R_{kjr1}(z) + \frac{1}{4}R_{jlr1}(z)R_{ikr1}(z) \right\} + R_{ijkl}(z).$$

b) b.1) *If no index is equal to one, then*

$$< \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z) > = \epsilon_{ijkl} \cdot F(t^2) \quad (20)$$

b.2) *If some index equals one, for example  $l = 1$ , then*

$$< \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+1}(z) > = \epsilon_{ijk1} \cdot H(t^2) \quad (21)$$

$$c) < \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z) > = 0.$$

$$d) < \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_k(z), e_l(z) > =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( 2\alpha(t^2) + (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})\beta(t^2)t^2 \right) R_{ijkl}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i1} \left( \beta(t^2) - 2\dot{\alpha}(t^2) \right) t^2 R_{klj1}(z) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j1} \left( 2\dot{\alpha}(t^2) - \beta(t^2) \right) t^2 R_{kli1}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2t^2}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n \{R_{krj1}(z)R_{rli1}(z) - R_{kri1}(z)R_{rlj1}(z)\}$$

$$e) \langle \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_k(z), e_{n+l}(z) \rangle =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t^2)R_{kilj}(z) + \frac{(\alpha(t^2))^2 t^2}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n R_{krj1}(z)R_{ril1}(z) + \frac{t^2}{2}(\delta_{j1} + \delta_{l1})\dot{\alpha}(t^2)(R_{kil1}(z) - R_{kij1}(z))$$

$$f) \langle \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_l(z) \rangle =$$

$$\frac{\alpha(t^2)t}{2} \{ \langle \nabla_D R(E_j^i(s), E_j^l(s))E_j^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 \rangle - \langle \nabla_D R(E_i^j(s), E_i^l(s))E_i^k(s)|_{s=0}, u_1 \rangle \}$$

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward and follows from Theorem 3.4 and equality (16).  $\square$

The functions  $F$  and  $H$  satisfy the following Proposition

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable functions such that  $\alpha(t) > 0$  and  $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If  $F$  is the zero function, then:*

$$i) \beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}.$$

$$ii) \alpha(t)(\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)) = (t\dot{\alpha}(t) + \alpha(t))^2.$$

$$iii) \alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0.$$

$$iv) H(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Assertion i) follows from equality (18) and ii) is a consequence of i). Equality ii) shows that  $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and since  $\alpha(0) + 0\dot{\alpha}(0) = \alpha(0) > 0$ , then we get iii). Equality ii) says that  $\alpha\Delta = \phi^2$ , and assertion iii) says that  $\phi > 0$ . Therefore, from equality (19) we get that  $H = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7** *Let  $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable functions such that  $\alpha(t) > 0$ ,  $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0$  and  $\alpha(t) + t\beta(t) > 0$  if  $t \geq 0$ . If  $H$  is the zero function, then it is also  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi > 0$  and  $H = 0$ , the equality (19) implies that  $\ln(\alpha\Delta) = \ln(\phi^2) + C$  for some constant  $C$ . In particular  $2\ln(\alpha(0)) = 2\ln(\alpha(0)) + C$ , hence  $C = 0$ . Since  $\alpha\Delta = \phi^2$ , we obtain that  $F = 0$ .  $\square$

## 4 Geometric consequences of curvature equations.

In this section the Riemannian metric  $G$  on  $TM$  is assumed natural. As through all the paper,  $G$  is characterized by the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . As in Remark 3.3, if  $v \in TM$ , let  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0) \in N$  such that  $\psi(z) = v$  and  $t = \|v\|$ . From Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 we get immediately



**Corollary 4.1** *If  $(TM, G)$  is flat then  $(M, G)$  is flat.*

*Proof.* It follows from part a) of Theorem 3.5 by setting  $t = 0$ . □

**Corollary 4.2** *If  $\dim M \geq 3$ ,  $(TM, G)$  is flat if and only if  $(M, g)$  is flat and*

$$\beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $(TM, G)$  is flat. From Theorem 3.5 part b.1) and  $1 < i < j \leq n$  we have that

$$\langle \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z) \rangle = -F(t^2)$$

Therefore  $F = 0$ , and the desired equality on  $\beta$  follows from Proposition 3.6 part i).

Assuming that  $(M, g)$  is flat and  $\beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}$ , we only need to show that

$$\langle \bar{R}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z))e_{n+k}(z), e_{n+l}(z) \rangle = 0 \quad (22)$$

for  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2n$ . The other cases also satisfies (22) because  $R = 0$ . Equality on  $\beta$  implies that  $F = 0$ , therefore by Proposition 3.6 part iv) we have that  $H = 0$ , and equality (22) is satisfied. □

We have also immediately the following result

**Corollary 4.3** *If  $\dim M = 2$ ,  $(TM, G)$  is flat if and only if  $(M, g)$  is flat and  $H = 0$ .*

**Remark 4.4** *Let  $\alpha(t) > 0$  be a differentiable function that satisfies  $t\dot{\alpha}(t) + \alpha(t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and define  $\beta(t) = \frac{t(\dot{\alpha}(t))^2 + 2\alpha(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}$ . If we consider the natural metric  $G$  induced by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $(TM, G)$  is flat if  $(M, g)$  is flat.*

**Remark 4.5** *The above Corollaries generalizes the well known fact that  $(TM, G_s)$  is flat if and only if  $(M, g)$  is flat (Kowalski [6], Aso [1]). This fact, follows from the Corollaries taking  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ .*

We will denote by  $K$  and  $\bar{K}$  the sectional curvatures of  $(M, g)$  and  $(TM, G)$  respectively.

**Theorem 4.6** *We have the following expression for the sectional curvature of  $(TM, G)$ , where  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\psi(z) = v$  with  $t = \|v\|$ :*

a) *For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ :*

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha(t^2)|R(u_i, u_j)v|^2$$

b) b.1) If  $2 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $i \neq j$

$$\bar{K}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{F(t^2)}{(\alpha(t^2))^2}$$

b.2) If  $2 \leq i \leq n$

$$\bar{K}(e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{H(t^2)}{\alpha(t^2)(\alpha(t^2) + t^2\beta(t^2))}$$

c) For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ :

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{\alpha(t^2)}{4} |R(u_j, v)u_i|^2$$

In particular  $\bar{K}(e_i, e_{n+1}) = 0$  if  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , since  $v = tu_1$ .

*Proof.* From equality (5) we get that  $e_1(z), \dots, e_{2n}(z)$  is an orthogonal basis for  $(TM)_v$  such that  $\langle e_i(z), e_j(z) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  if  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $\langle e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+1}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2) + t^2\beta(t^2)$  and  $\langle e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2)$  if  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $i \neq j$ . By setting  $k = j$  and  $l = i$  in equation a) of Theorem 3.5 we have that

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = -\langle \bar{R}(e_i(z), e_j(z))e_j(z), e_i(z) \rangle = R_{ijji}(z) - \frac{3}{4}t^2\alpha(t^2) \sum_{r=1}^n R_{ij1r}^2(z)$$

Since  $K(u_i, u_j) = R_{ijji}(z)$  and  $v = tu_1$ , we can write

$$\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha(t^2)|R(u_i, u_j)v|^2$$

Part b) follows directly from equations b.1) and b.2) of Theorem 3.5.

Since  $\|e_i(z)\| = 1$  and  $\langle e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z) \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , from Theorem 3.5 equation e), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) &= -\frac{(\alpha(|v|^2))^2|v|^2}{4(\alpha(|v|^2) + \delta_{j1}\beta(|v|^2)|v|^2)} \sum_{r=1}^n R_{irj1}(z)R_{rij1}(z) \\ &= \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n \left[ g(R(u_j, u_1|v)u_i, u_r) \right]^2 = \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4} |R(u_j, v)u_i|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### Corollary 4.7

- i)  $(TM, G)$  is never a manifold with negative sectional curvature.
- ii) If  $\bar{K}$  is constant, then  $(TM, G)$  and  $(M, g)$  are flat.
- iii) If  $\bar{K}$  is bounded and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t\alpha(t) = +\infty$ , then  $(M, g)$  is flat.

iv) If  $c \leq \bar{K} \leq C$  (possibly  $c = -\infty$  and  $C = +\infty$ ), then  $c \leq K \leq C$ .

*Proof.* Assertions i), ii) and iii) follow from Theorem 4.6 part c). Let  $q \in M$  and  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be an orthonormal basis for  $M_q$ . Then, if we consider  $z = (q, u, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $v = 0_q$ , from Theorem 4.6 part a) we have that  $\bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K(u_i, u_j)$  and part iv) holds. Also iii) follows from Theorem 3.5) part a) taking  $t = 0$ . □

**Corollary 4.8** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a manifold of constant sectional curvature  $K_0$  and  $TM$  endowed with a natural metric  $G$ , then we have for  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\psi(z) = v$  that*

$$a) \bar{K}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) = K_0 - \frac{3}{4}(K_0)^2 \alpha(|v|^2)(\delta_{i1} + \delta_{j1})|v|^2 \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

$$b) \bar{K}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{\alpha(|v|^2)}{4} K_0 |v|^2 (\delta_{ij} + \delta_{i1}).$$

The vertical case  $\bar{K}(e_{n+i}, e_{n+j})$  is as Theorem 4.6 part b).

From Theorem 4.6 we get the following result

**Corollary 4.9** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two natural metrics on  $TM$  such that are characterized by the functions  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,2}$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1,2}$ . If  $\bar{K}_1(u)(V, W) = \bar{K}_2(u)(V, W)$  for all  $u \in TM$  and  $V, W \in (TM)_u$  and  $(M, g)$  is not flat, then  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ .*

**Remark 4.10** *Let  $G_{+\exp}$  and  $G_{-\exp}$  be the natural metrics on  $TM$  defined by*

$${}^g G_{+\exp}(q, u, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n \times n} & 0 \\ 0 & A^+(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^g G_{-\exp}(q, u, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{n \times n} & 0 \\ 0 & A^-(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A^+(\xi) = e^{|\xi|^2}(Id_{n \times n} + \xi^t \cdot \xi)$  and  $A^-(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2}(Id_{n \times n} + \xi^t \cdot \xi)$ . We call  $G_{+\exp}$  and  $G_{-\exp}$  the positive and negative exponential metric.

It is known ([10]) that  $TM$  endowed with the Cheeger-Gromoll metric is never a manifold of constant sectional curvature. Theorem 4.6 applied to  $G_{+\exp}$  and  $G_{-\exp}$  shows that these metrics satisfy the same property.

#### 4.1 Ricci tensor and scalar curvature.

Let  $Ricc$  and  $\bar{Ricc}$  be the Ricci tensor of  $(M, g)$  and  $(TM, G)$  respectively. We will denote by  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$  and  $(TM, G)$ .

**Theorem 4.11** *For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0)$  we have the following expressions for  $\bar{Ricc}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
a) \quad \bar{Ric}(e_i(z), e_j(z)) &= -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \leq r, l \leq n} R_{irl1}(z) R_{jrl1}(z) + Ric(u_i, u_j) \\
b) \quad \bar{Ric}(e_i(z), e_{n+j}(z)) &= -\frac{\alpha(t^2)t^2}{2} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left\{ \langle \nabla_D R(E_r^i, E_r^r) E_r^j |_{s=0}, u_1 \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \langle \nabla_D R(E_i^r, E_i^r) E_i^j |_{s=0}, u_1 \rangle \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

c) c.1) If  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Ric}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z)) &= \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{1 \leq r, l \leq n} R_{rli1}^2(z) + \frac{(n-2)}{\alpha(t^2)} F(t^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha(t^2) + t^2 \beta(t^2)} H(t^2)
\end{aligned}$$

c.2) If  $2 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $i \neq j$ , then

$$\bar{Ric}(e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{1 \leq r, l \leq n} R_{rli1}(z) R_{rlj1}(z)$$

c.3) If  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then

$$\bar{Ric}(e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+j}(z)) = \frac{(n-1)}{\alpha(t^2)} H(t^2) \delta_{j1}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{e}_1(z), \dots, \bar{e}_{2n}(z)$  be the orthonormal basis for  $(TM)_v$  induced by the orthogonal basis  $e_1(z), \dots, e_{2n}(z)$ , where  $\psi(z) = v$ . For  $X, Y \in (TM)_v$  we have that

$$\bar{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} \langle \bar{R}(X, \bar{e}_l(z)) \bar{e}_l(z), Y \rangle$$

Equalities a), b) and c) follow directly from Theorem 3.5 and the fact that  $\langle e_{n+1}(z), e_{n+1}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2) + t^2 \beta(t^2)$  and  $\langle e_{n+i}(z), e_{n+i}(z) \rangle = \alpha(t^2)$  if  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.12** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the functions that characterizes  $G$ , such that  $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $(TM, G)$  is Ricci flat then  $(M, g)$  and  $(TM, G)$  are flats.*

*Proof.* In order to prove that  $R = 0$ , it is enough to show that for any  $q \in M$  and any orthonormal basis  $u = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  for  $M_q$  the following equalities are satisfied

$$\langle R(u_r, u_l) u_i, u_1 \rangle = 0 \tag{23}$$

for  $1 \leq r, l \leq n$  and  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $v \in M_q$ ,  $v \neq 0$  and  $z = (q, u, t, 0, \dots, 0) \in N$  such that  $\psi(z) = tu_1 = v$ . If  $\bar{Ric} = 0$ , from Theorem 4.11 part c.3) we have that  $H = 0$ . Since  $\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t) > 0$ , we get from Corollary 3.7 that  $F = 0$ . Consequently, equalities (23) follows from c.1). Since  $R = 0$  and  $H = F = 0$ , from Theorem 3.5 we have that  $\bar{R} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.13** *Is easy to see from Theorem 4.11 that if  $(M, g)$  is not flat or if not exists a constant  $k$  such that  $H(t) = k\alpha(t)$  and  $(n-2)[\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)]F(t) = \alpha(t)k[(n-2)\alpha(t) + (n-1)t\beta(t)]$ , then  $\bar{Ricc}$  is not a  $\lambda$ -natural tensor (see [4]).*

**Corollary 4.14** *Let  $v \in TM$  and  $z = (\pi(v), u_1, \dots, u_n, t, 0, \dots, 0) \in N$  such that  $v = u_1 t$ . The scalar curvature of  $(TM, G)$  at  $v$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(v) = S(\pi(v)) - \frac{t^2 \alpha(t^2)}{4} \sum_{irl=1}^n R_{irl1}^2(z) + \frac{2(n-1)}{\alpha(t^2)(\alpha(t^2) + \beta(t^2)t^2)} H(t^2) \\ + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{(\alpha(t^2))^2} F(t^2) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\{\bar{e}_1(z), \dots, \bar{e}_{2n}(z)\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $(TM)_v$  and the scalar curvature  $\bar{S}(v) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} Ricc(\bar{e}_l(z), \bar{e}_l(z))$ , the expression for  $\bar{S}$  follows straightforward from Theorem 4.11. □

**Remark 4.15** *Corollary 4.14 applied to  $G_{+\exp}$  and  $G_{-\exp}$  reads:*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{+\exp}(v) &= S(\pi(v)) - (n-1)e^{-|v|^2} \frac{[2 + (n-2)(1 + |v|^2)]}{(1 + |v|^2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{|v|^2}}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |R(u_i, u_j)v|^2 \\ S_{-\exp}(v) &= S(\pi(v)) + \frac{(n-1)e^{|v|^2}}{1 + |v|^2} \left[ (n-2)(3 - |v|^2) + \frac{6 + 2|v|^2}{1 + |v|^2} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{-|v|^2}}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |R(u_i, u_j)v|^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.16** *If  $(M, g)$  is a manifold of constant sectional curvature  $K_0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{+\exp}(v) &= (n-1) \left\{ K_0 \left( n - \frac{K_0}{2} |v|^2 e^{|v|^2} \right) - e^{-|v|^2} \frac{[2 + (n-2)(1 + |v|^2)]}{(1 + |v|^2)} \right\} \\ S_{-\exp}(v) &= (n-1) \left\{ K_0 \left( n - \frac{K_0}{2} |v|^2 e^{-|v|^2} \right) + \frac{e^{|v|^2}}{1 + |v|^2} \left[ (n-2)(3 - |v|^2) + \frac{6 + 2|v|^2}{1 + |v|^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.17** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a flat manifold, then we have that:*

- a)  $S_{+\exp} < 0$ .
- b) If  $\dim M = 2$ , then  $S_{-\exp} > 0$ .
- c) If  $\dim \geq 3$ ,  $S_{\exp}(v) > 0$  if and only if  $0 \leq |v|^2 < \frac{(n-1)+\sqrt{4(n-2)n+1}}{n-2}$ .
- d) Si  $\dim \geq 3$ ,  $S_{\exp}(v) = 0$  if and only if  $|v|^2 = \frac{(n-1)+\sqrt{4(n-2)n+1}}{n-2}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 4.16.

□

## References

- [1] Aso, K., *Notes on some properties of the sectional curvature of the tangent bundle*, Yokohama Math. J., **29**, (1981), 1-5.
- [2] Calvo, M.C. and Keilhauer, G.R.: *Tensor Field of Type (0,2) on the Tangent Bundle of a Riemannian Manifold*. Geometriae Dedicata **71**, (1998), 209-219.
- [3] Gudmundsson S. and Kappos E., *On the geometry of the tangent bundle with the Cheeger-Gromoll metric*, Tokyo J. Math. **25**, (2002), 1:75-83.
- [4] Henry, G., *A New formalism for the study of Natural Tensors of type (0,2) on Manifolds and Fibrations*.(2008) <http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.2062>
- [5] Henry, G., *Tensores naturales sobre variedades y fibraciones.*, Doctoral Thesis. Universidad de Buenos Aires (2009). <http://cms.dm.uba.ar/academico/carreras/doctorado/tesishenry.pdf>
- [6] Kowalski O., *Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Reine Angew.Math. **250**, (1971), 124-129.
- [7] Kowalski, O. and Sekisawa, M., *Natural Transformation of Riemannian Metrics on Manifolds to Metrics on Tangent Bundles- a Classification*. Bull Tokyo Gakugei. Univ. **4**, (1988), 1-29.
- [8] Musso, E. and Tricerri, F., *Riemannian metrics on the tangent bundles*, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.(4) , **150**, (1988), 1-19.
- [9] O'Neill, B., *The fundamental equations of a submersion*. Michigan Math. J., **13**, (1966), 459-469.
- [10] Sekizawa, M., *Curvatures of the tangent bundles with Cheeger-Gromoll metric*, Tokyo J. Math, **14** (1991), 2:407-417.

GUILLERMO HENRY:

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES  
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, BUENOS AIRES, C1428EHA, ARGENTINA  
*e-mail address:* ghenry@dm.uba.ar

GUILLERMO KEILHAUER

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES  
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, BUENOS AIRES, C1428EHA, ARGENTINA  
*e-mail address:* wkeilh@dm.uba.ar